A Tuneable Self-Complexing Molecular Switch

Graeme Cooke,*,† Patrice Woisel,*,‡ Marc Bria,§ François Delattre,‡ James F. Garety,† Shanika Gunatilaka Hewage,† Gouher Rabani,† and Georgina M. Rosair^{II}

WestCHEM, Department of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, U.K., Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique et Environnement, Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, Dunkerque 59140, France, CCM-RMN Lille 1, Université des Sciences et Techniques de Lille, Villeneuve d'Ascq 59655, France, and ScotCHEM, Chemistry, School of Engineering & Physical Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, U.K.

graemec@chem.gla.ac.uk

Received January 30, 2006

ORGANIC LETTERS 2006

Vol. 8, No. 7 1423–1426

ABSTRACT



A new self-complexing donor-acceptor system has been synthesized that has the propensity to undergo intramolecular decomplexation under thermal and electrochemical perturbation and upon addition of a competitive guest for the cyclophane's cavity.

Self-complexing systems fabricated using complementary donor-acceptor interactions have received considerable attention over the past few years.¹ Supramolecules of this type are of interest due to their intrinsic topology and ability to undergo controllable intramolecular complexation, making them possible candidates for constructing rudimentary molecular machines and devices.² Donor-acceptor self-complexing supramolecules have been prepared by attaching an

(2) Balzani, V.; Venturi, M.; Credi, A. Molecular Devices and Machines: A Journey into the Nano World; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2003. electron-rich arm containing either a 1,5-dioxynaphthalene unit or a tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) unit to the electron-deficient cyclophane cyclobis(paraquat-*p*-phenylene) (CBPQT⁴⁺). Here, we report a new class of CBPQT⁴⁺-based self-complexing system whereby the arm contains a pyrrole moiety as the electron-rich unit. Furthermore, we report that the selfcomplexing properties of this system can be disrupted thermally, electrochemically, and by the addition of a more effective guest for the cyclophane's cavity.

Scheme 1 outlines the synthesis of 1. Reduction of 2,^{1a} using diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H) as reducing agent, afforded the corresponding alcohol 3 in good yield. Subsequent esterification of 3 with acid 4^3 furnished compound 5. Formation of the cyclophane was achieved using the clipping methodology, by stirring 5 and salt 6^4 in the presence of template 7 in DMF at room temperature for 10 days. Compound 1 was separated by column chromatography (SiO₂, MeOH/NH₄Cl/MeNO₂) and isolated, after

[†] University of Glasgow.

[‡] Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale.

[§] Université des Sciences et Techniques de Lille.

Heriot-Watt University.

 ⁽¹⁾ For examples, see: (a) Ashton, P. R.; Ballardini, R.; Balzani, V.;
Boyd, S. E.; Credi, A.; Gandolfi, M. T.; Gómez-López, M.; Iqbal, S.; Philp,
D.; Preece, J. A.; Prodi, L.; Ricketts, H. G.; Stoddart, J. F.; Tolley, M. S.;
Venturi, M.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Chem. Eur. J. 1997, 3, 152–
170. (b) Nielsen, M. B.; Nielsen, S. B.; Becher, J. Chem. Commun. 1998,
475–476. (c) Nielsen, M. B.; Hansen, J. G.; Becher, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem.
1999, 2807–2815. (d) Liu, Y.; Flood, A. H.; Stoddart, J. F. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 2004, 126, 9150–9151. (e) Liu, Y.; Flood, A. H.; Moscowitz, R. M.;
Stoddart, J. F. Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 369–385.

⁽³⁾ Pickett, C. J.; Ryder, K. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 2181–2189.



exchanging the Cl⁻ counterions of the cyclophane with NH₄-PF₆, as an orange-red solid (see the Supporting Information).

The pale orange/red color of **1** in the solid and solution state prompted us to investigate whether the electron-rich pyrrole unit complexes with the CBPQT⁴⁺ moiety. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of solvent from a concentrated solution of **1** in acetonitrile/methanol (Figure 1 and the Supporting Information). The structure



Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of 1.

clearly indicates that the system forms a dimer in the solidstate, with the pyrrole units being located within the electrondeficient cavity of the cyclophane moiety of another molecule of **1**.

To obtain insight into the structure of **1** in solution, UV– vis spectroscopy, 1D NMR, and 2D NOESY experiments were undertaken. The absorption spectrum of **1** recorded in CH₃CN at 298 K shows a broad band in the visible region ($\lambda_{max} = 433 \text{ nm}, \epsilon = 677 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) resulting from chargetransfer (CT) interactions between the pyrrole moiety and the π -electron-deficient bipyridinium units of the cyclophane (Figure 2). The absorbance of **1** decreased linearly upon



Figure 2. Selected UV–vis spectra of 1 recorded at the following concentrations in CH_3CN at 298 K: (a) 0.1 mmol, (b) 0.4 mmol, (c) 0.8 mmol.

dilution (within the concentration range 4×10^{-3} to 5×10^{-5} M, see the Supporting Information), suggesting the presence of a intramolecular equilibrium and the absence of higher order equilibria. Moreover, significant concentration dependence was not observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** recorded in CD₃CN ($10^{-2}-10^{-4}$ M, $\Delta\delta < 0.1$ ppm), further suggesting the absence of intermolecular complexation in the solution state (see the Supporting Information). Thus, it is unlikely that supramolecular oligomers of **1** are formed in solution to any significant extent under the conditions examined, which is in contrast to its structure in the solid state, where intermolecular complexation is clearly observed.

The most important features of the ¹H NMR spectra of **1** recorded in CD₃CN at 298 K are summarized in Figure 3.



7.0 6.8 6.6 6.4 6.2 6.0 5.8 5.6 5.4 5.2 5.0 4.8 4.6 4.4 4.2 4.0 3.8 3.6 3.4 3.2

Figure 3. Partial ¹H NMR spectra of (a) **8** and (b) **1** recorded in CD₃CN at 298 K, showing the relative position of protons H_{α} and H_{β} of their pyrrole moieties.

First, the two pyrrole proton resonances (H_{α} , H_{β}) are centered at unusually high fields of 3.90 and 3.48 ppm, respectively, compared to those belonging to the "open" model **8** and *N*-methylpyrrole, suggesting that the pyrrole ring is located

⁽⁴⁾ Anelli, P. L.; Ashton, P. R.; Ballardini, R.; Balzani, V.; Delgado, M.; Gandolfi, M. T.; Goodnow, T. T.; Kaifer, A. E.; Philp, D.; Pietraszkiewicz, M.; Prodi, L.; Reddington, M. V.; Slawin, M. V.; Spencer, A. M. Z.; Stoddart, J. F.; Vicent, C.; Williams, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 193-218.

inside the cavity of the tetracationic cyclophane. Second, the lack of "uncomplexed" pyrrole proton resonances between 6 and 7 ppm indicate a fast equilibrium, at least on ¹H NMR time scale, between the "self-complexed" and the "uncomplexed" forms of **1**.

The presence of the pyrrole moiety inside the cavity has been confirmed using 2D NOESY spectroscopy recorded in CD_3COCD_3 at 298 K (Figure 4). The spectrum exhibits



Figure 4. Partial 2D NOESY NMR spectra of 1 recorded in CD_3 -COCD₃ at 298 K and a representation of the possible geometry of 1 in its self-complexed form.

several cross-peaks between both the H_{α} and H_{β} protons of the pyrrole moiety and either the phenylene protons (H_i , H_q) or those belonging to the viologen moieties (H_e , H_o , H_f , H_g) of **1**, suggesting that the pyrrole moiety probably adopts an average position close to the center of the cavity. This hypothesis is also supported by the strongest dipolar correlation observed between the H_{β} and the H_f protons. Moreover, the lack of dipolar correlations between the protons H_1 and H_2 of the pendant arm and the protons of the tetracationic macrocycle indicates that the pyrrole part is not deeply included in the cyclophane's cavity (Figure 4).

With self-complexation confirmed for 1, we next turned our attention to whether complex formation could be controlled using an external stimulus. The fast in-out isomerism of the pyrrole arm has been characterized by variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectroscopy (see the Supporting Information). Indeed, by comparison of spectra recorded at 298 K, lowering the temperature of 1 to 203 K causes a significant upfield shift in the chemical shift of the pyrrole protons, indicating the displacement of the equilibria toward the formation of the self-complexed conformation. In contrast, increasing the temperature results in a downfield shift of the pyrrole protons indicating decomplexation occurs. This process could also be monitored spectrophotometrically by recording the UV-vis spectra at different temperatures, which showed that a gradual loss of the charge-transfer absorption occurred as the temperature is increased from ambient temperature. Thus, the data are consistent with decomplexation occurring upon heating 1 above room temperature.

As an alternative strategy for disrupting self-complexation of **1**, we have exploited the well-documented ability of reducing the CBPQT⁴⁺ unit to its diradical dicationic state to induce pseudorotaxane dethreading.⁴ To investigate this, we have compared the cyclic and square wave voltammograms of separate solutions of model compound **9** and **1** in acetonitrile (0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆). These studies indicated that the first reduction wave of **1** occurred at a 32 mV more negative potential than that of **9** (which lacks a pyrrole moiety), presumably due to donor–acceptor interactions between the pyrrole and cyclophane moieties stabilizing the



Figure 5. Square wave voltammograms of 9 (-) and $1 (\cdots)$. Step potential = 0.004 V; pulse amplitude = 0.025 V; quiet time = 2 s.

diradical dication state of the latter (Figure 5).⁵ The halfwave potential of the second reduction wave is largely unaffected, indicating the pyrrole unit dethreads when the cyclophane is first reduced.

Finally, we have explored the addition of TTF to disrupt self-complexation, as it is well established that TTF is an effective guest for CBPQT⁴⁺-based cyclophanes.⁶ Addition of aliquots of TTF to a cuvette containing **1** immediately resulted in the appearance of an emerald-green solution characteristic of TTF–CBPQT⁴⁺ complexes, resulting from a charge-transfer band centered around 870 nm (Figure 6).



Figure 6. UV–vis spectra of 1 (–) (6.5 \times 10⁻⁴ M) in CH₃CN and upon the addition of 1 equiv of TTF (–).

This process was also monitored using ¹H NMR spectroscopy and revealed a downfield shift for the H_{α} and H_{β} pyrrole protons and a concomitant upfield shift for the TTF protons (compared to the NMR spectra of TTF recorded in CD₃CN) upon addition of aliquots of the latter (see the Supporting Information). Thus, the UV-vis and NMR data are consistent with the TTF unit disrupting self-complexation by forming a pseudorotaxane with the $CBPQT^{4+}$ moiety of 1.

In conclusion, we have shown that compound **1** selfcomplexes in solution. Furthermore, by applying an external stimuli in the form of heat, electrochemical reduction or the addition of a completing guest for the cyclophane's cavity, we have shown that self-complexation can be disrupted. Further work in our laboratory will investigate the complex formation between CBPQT⁴⁺ and noncovalently linked pyrrole units (and their oligomers) and the electropolymerization of the pyrrole moiety of **1** to furnish surface-confined electrochemically tuneable CBPQT⁴⁺ host units.

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC for funding this work.

Supporting Information Available: Full synthetic details pertaining to the preparation of **1**. Characterization of **1** using single-crystal X-ray diffraction, UV-vis, and NMR spectroscopies and electrochemistry. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL0602553

⁽⁵⁾ All electrochemical experiments were performed using a CH Instruments 620A electrochemical workstation. The electrolyte solution (0.1 M) was prepared from recrystallized Bu₄NPF₆ using spectroscopic grade CH₃-CN. A three-electrode configuration was used with a 2 mm diameter Pt disc working electrode, a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, and a platinum wire as the counter electrode. The solution was vigorously purged with nitrogen prior to recording the electrochemical data. All voltammetry measurements were recorded under a nitrogen atmosphere.

⁽⁶⁾ For examples, see: (a) Devonport, W.; Blower, M. A.; Bryce, M. R.; Goldenberg, L. M. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 885–887. (b) Ashton, P. R.; Balzani, V.; Becher, J.; Credi, A.; Fyfe, M. C. T.; Mattersteig, G.; Menzer, S.; Nielsen, M. B.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F.; Venturi, M.; Williams, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 3951–3957. (c) Nielsen, M. B.; Jeppesen, J. O.; Lau, J.; Lomholt, C.; Damgaard, D.; Jacobsen, J. P.; Becher, J.; Stoddart, J. F. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 66, 3559–3563.